EXHIBIT 12

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	AXIOM INVESTMENT ADVISORS, LLC, by and through its Trustee, Gildor Management, LLC, and AXIOM INVESTMENT COMPANY, LLC, by and through its Trustee, Gildor Management, LLC,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	-against-
7	DEUTSCHE BANK AG,
8	Defendant.
9	Case No.: 15 Civ 9945
10	X
11	
12	601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York
13	October 27, 2017
14	8:32 a.m.
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of ALEKSANDAR IVIC,
17	taken before Sadie L. Herbert, a RPR and Notary
18	Public of the States of New York and New Jersey.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



IVIC

A I would say not 100 percent of the time, but mainly, yes.

Q And this is -- Exhibit 38 is one of the documents that you would provide them at this time, if they were seeking to connect to AutobahnFX through the FIX API; is that fair?

A That's correct.

MR. GENSER: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Sorry.

Q Were there -- in the 2009 time period, when a client was seeking to connect to the AutobahnFX FIX API, were there other documents other than Exhibit 38 that would be provided to them?

MR. GENSER: Objection, lack of foundation.

A From a technical perspective, if they were also looking for, say, an SDP solution, a Drop Copy solution, we would provide them with another FIX specification for that.

Q Okay. But did your team provide clients any other documentation, other than the AutobahnFX FIX API integration guide for those clients that were looking for a AutobahnFX FIX

IVIC

API, because at -- in around that time period, there was a migration where many Java API clients would go to FIX, so it's -- it's more of a standard protocol that industry had developed against that protocol.

Q Okay. What was the purpose of providing Exhibit 38 to clients at that time?

A Right now, to get the FIX messaging, that's probably the short answer I can give you. So I can give you the example what I mean by

Q Please.

A So, for example, if we turn to page -where is -- so Page 12, where it says "Market
Data Request," if they want to ask for a -- a
spot trade, they would have to get the -- the
sequence of -- of this -- of FIX message correct
and asking us the right parameters in what they
were after, so if they want to do -- I want to
subscribe to Euro dollar spot trade for 1
million, they would have to plug in those
parameters correctly and conform to the
specification, they cannot deviate to what they
would like to do, they have to kind of like

IVIC

API connection?

MR. GENSER: Object to form.

A Right now, all I remember is that we provide technical documentation.

If they're looking for a FIX API -- we'd look at a FIX API, I believe back then, we also were offering the Java API so if they were looking for the Java, we will provide them Java API documentation so they were able to program against.

Q So the documentation that you would provide them depended on what type of solution they were looking for, if they were looking for Java, you would give them the Java integration guide, if they were looking for FIX, you would give them the FIX integration guide?

MR. GENSER: Object to form, asked and answered.

A I believe that's the -- that's the case where I've stated, so if they were looking for, at this time, in 2009, if they were looking for a FIX solution, we were giving them FIX. I believe the Java API was still in full swing to be allowed to be onboarded, so we'd use the Java

IVIC

adhere to this. This document is like the rules of the road, you know, it's our way that we'll understand those requests, and they should be interpreting our responses correctly that way.

Q And a client wasn't going to be able to pass certification without conforming to this document; is that correct?

MR. GENSER: Object to form, vague, misstates the testimony.

A If the -- if the client doesn't adhere to this specification, they add or had things missing and that results in other issues, yes, we would not pass that. There are minor -- minor anomalies where we just ignore some irregular messages, but in general, if they -- we validate every single messages on our -- on our FIX gateway.

Q So I believe earlier, you testified that this document was drafted based on your recollection on a -- by a Russian team; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q Did your team validate this document before it was given out?



1 TVTC **IVIC** don't know for a fact whatever values were set "complete"? 2 2 3 on this, I wouldn't have that knowledge, I said 3 Would you provide them with all of the data fields that are contained in this email or it kicks in, and the market moves again for .6 4 4 5 would you leave some of those fields out? 5 over PIP in their favor, and then we reject 6 their second order. MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 6 7 7 okav. Coming from -- again, from a client 0 8 integration point of view, when I'm talking with 8 And I'd like to add that, again, I'm 9 looking at this from -- completely from a FIX 9 actual developers, the technical support on 10 perspective, the FIX gateway perspective, not 10 Fortex or advanced markets here, I would 11 the tunnel, not downstream. And whatever 11 communicate the FIX messages, not internal reporting. That is, again, for -- something for 12 reporting was available to me, I mean, that's 12 13 what I provided here as well. 13 front office to have any communication or any 14 Sure. 14 internal reporting, but the FIX messages inbound 15 Is this type of data currently 15 and outbound, where we see, are usually 16 available to you, timestamps and other -- for 16 exchanged with a client or the vendor of the 17 trade requests and rejections from the FIX 17 cloud. Okay. So just so that I understand 18 gateway currently? 18 Q 19 MR. GENSER: Object to form. 19 which ones are the FIX messages and which ones 20 Are you stating in the production 20 are the internal, right, so on Page 34, the last 21 environment or in the UAT environment? 21 set, that's a outbound FIX message; is that 22 In the production environment? 22 right? Q 23 In the production environment, I have 23 Anything --Α 24 access to actually go through and troubleshoot 24 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 25 several logs and archive several logs. Sorry, can you repeat and point out 25 113 115 IVIC **IVIC** 1 1 And you can do this same sort of 2 2 what you're --3 analysis today, then? 3 Q 4 Yes, I can. 4 You mean anything that's in brackets of Α MR. GENSER: Object -- well, 5 5 out? I was referring to the -- the first 6 objection, lack of foundation. 6 7 Is the data from the FIX gateway 7 page, on Page 34, so the -- the last group of 8 available to clients for troubleshooting? 8 messages at the bottom of that page there that 9 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 9 have the brackets, "out," that's an outgoing FIX 10 Are you asking whether I can make FIX message; right? 10 messages from our FIX gateway available to It's an outgoing FIX message. And I 11 11 12 clients? 12 like to be clear that that shouldn't be 13 Q Yes. 13 transformed in any way on our side. So this 14 I've, on occasion, from vague memory, 14 goes through the FIX tunnel transparently and then back to the client, so they should receive 15 not specific instance, I have communicated back 15 16 and forth the FIX messaging we see on our side 16 this exact same message that goes out. 17 and try to get their FIX messages, so we kind of 17 Okay. And then the ones that -- the 18 try, troubleshoot and eliminate any technical 18 second group, the -- the strings after reasons why trades are being rejected. 69 milliseconds later, where you get hit with 19 19 When you communicate FIX messages to three requests. 20 20 clients, do you provide them with the complete 21 21 Do you see that group? 22 22 The inbound group, do you mean? FIX logs? 23 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 23 Yes. 24 Can I ask, complete in what sense? I 24 Would you provide those to clients would -- sorry, what -- what do you mean by 25 25 unredacted?

114

116

1 **IVIC** 1 **IVIC** something that they would have to ask for? 2 2 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 3 If they request for that, yes, but that 3 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. And would be unusual. I'm not sure that that correctly 4 4 5 5 It would be unusual? characterized the prior testimony about 0 6 Because these are the exact same 6 the timestamp. 7 messages that they sent us. 7 But you can answer, if you can. 8 Q Okay. 8 I'm a bit confused on that answer, but 9 9 If they were to ask for that, it would I'll try my best to answer that. Those are 10 be just to see if we received them and filed server timestamps on our -- our thing. In this 10 11 them in correctly, from -- from a technical email, there may be other communications, 11 12 point of view, that they didn't get corrupted by 12 there's not just AMI FX Fortex, it is -- has a whole bunch of other clients in there. So I 13 the network line. 13 14 And do the clients have access to the 14 selectively filtered out just for them. These 15 timestamps of the -- the FIX gateway timestamps 15 are server timestamps, it's not necessarily 16 on inbound messages? 16 something they would even know about. I don't 17 Could you please in detail clarify what 17 even think there's a FIX protocol that would --18 you mean by "access," like in realtime access or 18 or FIX message that would immediately act back 19 on -- per request or --19 what we -- what time we received that. 20 Let's start with, without request, do 20 Q Okay. 21 clients have access to the FIX gateway 21 Α From a FIX gateway point of view, that 22 timestamps for an inbound request? 22 is, yeah. 23 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. 23 Sure. Q Okay. Okay. And then the -- the 24 I -- I -- I still don't understand the 24 question. If your question is whether I would 25 25 middle string in between those, after the 119 IVIC 1 **IVIC** 1 language that says, "Kicks in at 2 give them the outbound messages to them with --2 3 without timestamps, if they request that, that's 3 3030 milliseconds, down market moves to 1.22334." 4 something I would give to the client because 4 5 we're trying to troubleshoot possible network 5 Do you see that? latencies there, so from a technical point of 6 6 I can read that, yes. Α view, I would like to find out all the 7 7 Right. Q 8 timestamps necessary, to find out the realtime 8 Okay. So the text string there that 9 differential on the networking side. 9 begins with the timestamps that are 08:21:17, 10 10 118? Q Riaht. But -- I'm sorry, my question must have 11 11 Α Yes. been confusing. That -- that wasn't what I was 12 MR. GENSER: What's the question? 12 13 asking. 13 Are those -- is that data something 14 What I'm trying to understand is -- so 14 that's available to the clients on their end 15 you said, this string of data, after 69 15 without request? 16 milliseconds later, we get hit with three 16 MR. GENSER: Objection, vague. requests, that that was an inbound message to Not the actual FIX gateway. They would 17 17 Deutsche Bank, right, so that's an outbound 18 18 have to request exactly what we are recording on message from the client. And my question was our FIX gateway, as I said, there might be 19 19 whether or not the timestamps there, which were 20 20 additional processing time, so maybe that 21 timestamps from the FIX gate- -- the FIX timestamp, it wouldn't be relevant to them, 21 22 gateway, were available to the client without 22 maybe they wouldn't want the FIX tunnel 23 requesting them from you? 23 timestamps, if we could capture that, so this --24 So do they just have those available to 24 I mean, when you look at timestamps, there is

25

them just naturally in their logs or was that

118

25

120

components downstream that adds latencies here